

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/035,745	PIANCA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kennedy Schaetzle	3766	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Kennedy Schaetzle.

(3) _____.

(2) Victoria Poissant.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 November 2005

Time: 2:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

26 27 31

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Proposed Examiner's Amendment to correct for minor errors (claims 26 and 31), as well as to better define the splittable relationship between mating wall portions (claim 27), agreed to. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)